Cranial electrotherapy stimulation (CES) is the generic term for a medical treatment that entered the USA from the USSR and Europe in the 1960s as “electrosleep.” It involves the passage of small levels of microcurrent stimulation in a specific waveform through the brain to normalize and bring back into homeostatic balance the brain’s electrochemistry which can be thrown into disarray by physical or psychological trauma. Once back into a prestress homeostasis, the brain can function normally again, carrying out its myriad systemic regulation duties.

CES treatment is generally administered for 20 minutes to one hour per day, at least once a week, but as often as daily in severe cases. Most symptoms improve significantly during a customary initial three week evaluation period, although patients are often provided a CES unit to have at home to use from time to time in order to prevent symptoms from returning.

This article reviews the promising available information on cranial electrotherapy stimulation (CES) for mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) in the civilian sector but it is equally applicable to the military rehabilitation setting since mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is one of the signature injuries of the current wars in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Electroconvulsive Therapy, Electroanesthesia, and CES

CES was advanced in Europe under the assumption that if the strong current used in electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) were turned down sufficiently, electroanesthesia could be produced so that surgery could be performed on an unconscious patient without the danger of concomitant seizure activity. Once electroanesthesia devices were available, physicians who had an interest in sleep therapy or who merely wanted to provide a non-drug way of assisting insomniacs, had the electroanesthesia current turned down another substantial notch to microcurrent levels and obtained a treatment originally called electrosleep, the forerunner of CES. The idea was to induce sleep, then turn off the CES device to allow the patient to complete a good night’s rest.

Much research was done in American, Russian, and European medical schools and other research centers in the 1960s and early 1970s to learn what parameters—waveform, frequencies, current levels, etc.—were necessary to reliably induce sleep in their patients. These researchers were never able to find a set of electrical stimulation parameters that reliably induced sleep.1-5

A serendipitous discovery, however, was that the patients who were given even one treatment with CES reported general feelings of relaxation and a substantial reduction of anxiety.6-10 When treated daily over a few weeks to a month, even severe cases of anxiety and depression resolved.11-13

CES Research In The US: Stress, Rehabilitation, Cognitive Improvements

A major reorientation of CES studies and clinical use followed. Controlled scientific studies began on the substance abstinence syndrome—with its major symptoms of anxiety, insomnia and depression—of patients withdrawing from illicit drugs and/or pharmaceuticals, alcohol or nicotine.14-19 Other studies looked at the stress of graduate students in a business management training program,20 incarcerated prisoners on a prison psychiatric ward,21 and of psychiatric patients in general.22-24

Because of its ability to treat stress under such a wide assortment of patient populations, CES soon became a major component of rehabilitation medicine. Patients in rehabilitation programs are well known to suffer from extreme stress, including anxiety and depression as they and their therapists work to get their lives back to a semblance of normality.25,26 It found use in treating the stress-related symptoms in para- and quadriplegic patients and, in doing so, it was found to sig-
motor vehicle accidents or falls from high elevations on construction projects. That group drew special attention because the majority of them were known seizure patients and little was known of the effects of CES on seizure patients.

**Case Summaries**

**Case 1: ‘Rancho Level IV’ Patients**

Confused and agitated TBI (or post-anoxic or post-stroke) patients may be classified as ‘Rancho Level IV’ from the Rancho Los Amigo IV-VIII Scale and would be described as follows:

“The patient is in a heightened state of activity with severely decreased ability to process information. He is detached from the present and responds primarily to his own internal confusion. Behavior is frequently bizarre and non-purposeful relative to his immediate environment. He may cry out or scream out of proportion to stimuli even after removal. He may show aggressive behavior and attempt to remove restraints or tubes.”

A 33-year-old male Rancho IV patient was given p.r.n. droperidol along with CES. The patient developed meningitis at age 14 which left him with a generalized tonic-clonic seizure disorder. Seizures were controlled until two years later when he suffered a significant concussion playing high school football. At that time he experienced up to seven generalized tonic-clonic seizures a day, uncontrollable by medication. In 1989, he underwent a right temporal lobectomy which resulted in a disappearance of the seizures for two years. In 1991, he experienced the acute onset of status epilepticus followed by a prolonged coma. He was diagnosed with viral encephalitis. On awakening, his behavior deteriorated into confusion, sexual inappropriateness, and dangerous aggression. MRI showed left temporal ischaemia and atrophy with enlargement of the left temporal horn and atrium of the left temporal ventricle. Topographical EEG showed increased right temporal changes, 1:1—and even 2:1—staff coverage and other specialized interventions, she continued to attack, throw furniture, kick walls and doors, and required frequent restraints. She would fall down up to eight times a day, accuse staff of shoving her, and make false reports to the Department of Regulatory Services. She was floridly paranoid, developed grudge lists, and would follow peers and staff around yelling at them to get away from her. At other times, she would target peers for assault when they were taking staff’s time and attention, which she was demanding. She sometimes expressed remorse over her actions, but did not change her behavior.

The patient’s history of psychiatric hospitalizations began nearly 40 years prior, having first been hospitalized at age 15. Since 1991, she was in Texas State hospitals 11 times and was in prison for two years for stealing a car with a baby in the back seat. For the past 13 years, she was homeless when not incarcerated. Throughout the years, antipsychotic medication, including clozapine, would produce a certain level of improvement in the schizoaffective disorder, in that her hallucinations would become quiescent, but she was never able to be maintained in halfway houses or nursing homes because of her violent behavior. Her last such placement ended when she broke an attendant’s arm. She was thought to be of borderline intelligence, but had obtained a General Educational Development (or GED) test while in prison. The patient had grown up in a sexually and physical-
ly abusive home, had started using alcohol and street drugs at age 12, and by 21 she described herself as an alcoholic like her father.

In the first three months at NTSH-V, she was treated with maximum doses of quetiapine and ziprazidone along with a large dose of oxcarbazepine and escitalopram. She had 12 episodes of physical assault in this pre-CES period, requiring 12 restraints and 66 PRN medication administrations. In spite of the large doses of medicine, she was sleepless many nights, ate irregularly, and was deeply paranoid and withdrawn between aggressive outbursts.

CES was started at 0.5 Hz, one hour twice daily and 15 to 45 minutes, up to three times daily, for her frequent agitation episodes. Compliance with CES improved after two weeks and she began sleeping and eating better. Oxcarbazepine and ziprazidone were discontinued and a small dose of clozapine (200mg/day) was added. Two weeks later, the quetiapine dose was cut in half and she continued the escitalopram. In the first month of CES, she had only five aggressive episodes, requiring four restraints and PRN’s dropped to 19.

After six weeks of CES, her personality changed dramatically. She became outgoing, was no longer accusatory, and her grooming and hygiene became exceptional. Her assaultive behavior stopped altogether, as did the necessity for PRN’s and other interventions. At the end of three months of CES, she passed the Dangerously Review Board and was immediately removed from the study, the 11 patients in the two control groups were given CES for three months, exhibiting a well-developed brain homeostasis was thrown into disarray, the application of CES—opened across the entire brain, but canalized especially along the limbic system and its centers of emotional experience and expression. Scientists at the University of Tennessee Medical Center completed a series of five studies that used various drugs to deliberately cause Parkinson-like symptoms in canine subjects. They found that once brain homeostasis was thrown into dramatic disarray, the application of CES could bring it back into apparent neurochemical homeostasis within 3 to 7 hours. Left to their normal care—but without CES—the dogs required 4 to 7 days to return to normal behavior once the drugs had been removed.

Over the years, a number of EEG studies have been done pre- and post-CES treatments. Kennerly did the best EEG study to date revealing significant increases in alpha activity denoting more relaxation and significant decreases in delta activity that accounts for the increased alertness typically seen following CES. Cox did a crossover study on a female depressed patient and found that following CES, but not sham CES, she became sleepy and drowsy for the first time in months, exhibiting a well-developed alpha rhythm in the occipital cortex. Empson studied student volunteers in a sleep lab and found that CES treatment was accompanied by an EEG state suggesting an alteration in the mood of tense.

### Table 1. Profile of Mood States pre- and post-scores from CES, sham CES, and wait in line controls in a double blind pilot study on traumatic brain injuries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile of Mood States Subscale</th>
<th>CES treatment pre to post</th>
<th>Sham treatment pre to post</th>
<th>Control pre to post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CES treatment pre to post N = 10</td>
<td>12.33 ± 7.36 to 8.78 ± 5.09</td>
<td>13.00 ± 6.21 to 14.36 ± 8.25</td>
<td>12.33 ± 8.07 to 12.50 ± 5.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CES treatment pre to post N = 5</td>
<td>10.91 ± 7.36 to 12.06 ± 8.71</td>
<td>20.17 ± 17.79 to 18.18 ± 12.47</td>
<td>20.00 ± 14.45 to 16.17 ± 9.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CES treatment pre to post N = 6</td>
<td>13.67 ± 11.20 to 10.39 ± 7.49</td>
<td>16.73 ± 8.27 to 17.55 ± 12.22</td>
<td>14.83 ± 11.50 to 14.83 ± 6.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CES treatment pre to post N = 6</td>
<td>7.44 ± 6.75 to 5.33 ± 3.96</td>
<td>9.46 ± 7.83 to 8.09 ± 6.63</td>
<td>8.17 ± 7.41 to 6.50 ± 5.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CES treatment pre to post N = 6</td>
<td>8.50 ± 6.75 to 6.22 ± 3.96</td>
<td>10.55 ± 5.87 to 10.27 ± 5.10</td>
<td>9.67 ± 6.15 to 10.50 ± 5.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CES treatment pre to post N = 6</td>
<td>45.11 ± 41.95 to 31.89 ± 23.84</td>
<td>52.73 ± 41.95 to 52.33 ± 36.64</td>
<td>47.83 ± 43.25 to 45.67 ± 24.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CES or sham CES was administered below sensation threshold Monday through Thursday for three weeks for a total of 12 one hour sessions. It was found that anxiety and depression scores improved significantly in the treatment group, but not in the placebo (sham treated) group, or the wait in line control group. Their fatigue scores also improved significantly, as did their cognitive function scores and their Total Mood Disturbance score on the Profile of Mood States psychometric test as shown in Table 1.

During the study, one of the subjects who had brain cancer had a seizure and was immediately removed from the study by the principal investigator. Following the study, the 11 patients in the two control groups were given CES for three weeks. It had been learned that the patient who had the seizure during the double blind phase of the study was receiving sham CES treatment. Upon the insistence of his parents, he also received actual CES treatment for three weeks following the study. Neither he nor any of the other subjects in the study experienced a seizure while receiving actual CES treatment and, according to house attendants, their seizure experience in the weeks following the study was unremarkable.

**Double Blind Pilot Study of CES for TBI**

A double blind pilot study was conducted on 21 closed TBI patients who were living in a supervised care home. Their time since injury ranged from six months to 32 years and their ages ranged from early teenagers to those in their 40s and 50s (average age of 30 years).

The subjects were randomly assigned to CES treatment (N=10), sham CES treatment (N=5), or “wait in line” controls (N=6). The therapists, patients, and the statistician all remained blind to treatment conditions.

**CES Mechanisms and EEG Studies**

Possible mechanisms of CES have been studied. Researchers at the University of Wisconsin found that even though the current applied was small (in the microamperage range), 42% to 46% of the current applied externally actually went through the entire brain, but canalized especially along the limbic system and its centers of emotional experience and expression.

Scientists at the University of Tennessee Medical Center completed a series of five studies that used various drugs to deliberately cause Parkinson-like symptoms in canine subjects. They found that once brain homeostasis was thrown into dramatic disarray, the application of CES could bring it back into apparent neurochemical homeostasis within 3 to 7 hours. Left to their normal care—but without CES—the dogs required 4 to 7 days to return to normal behavior once the drugs had been removed.

Over the years, a number of EEG studies have been done pre- and post-CES treatments. Kennerly did the best EEG study to date revealing significant increases in alpha activity denoting more relaxation and significant decreases in delta activity that accounts for the increased alertness typically seen following CES. Cox did a crossover study on a female depressed patient and found that following CES, but not sham CES, she became sleepy and drowsy for the first time in months, exhibiting a well-developed alpha rhythm in the occipital cortex.
anxious students to one of relaxation. Heffernan completed two studies of the EEG spectrum in chronic pain patients in which he completed fast fourier transformation and chaos correlation dimension analyses and found CES to bring the EEG back into a coherent, pre-stress pattern. Itil used a computerized frequency analyzer to study the EEG's of ten male volunteers in a crossover design. He found that the effect of CES on the EEG depended on whether the subjects were resting or involved in reaction measurements. Those who began with an EEG suggesting a relaxed state became even more relaxed, while those who began in an alert state remained in the alert state. During reaction time measurements, there was an increase in 5-10 Hz activity and a decrease in fast alpha and beta activity following CES. McKenzie completed an EEG study with eight psychiatric patients suffering chronic anxiety with depression and insomnia with anxiety, obsessive and compulsive reactions, morphine and barbiturate addiction, and involutional depression. They were give two to four CES treatments weekly for two to three hours a day for a total ranging from 10 to 20 treatments. A majority (75%) of the patients were labeled as responders to the 20 treatments. A majority (75%) of the patients were labeled as responders to the follow CES treatment, whether the subject population were addicts, patients undergoing treatment in a psychiatric hospital, patients in a sleep laboratory, or simply students in a graduate school experimental EEG laboratory. There was no instance in which an EEG indicated adverse effects from CES treatment.

After several years of using CES alone, it was discovered that it potentiated biofeedback, including the speed of learning, length of retention, and ongoing patient improvement if given just before or along with biofeedback of various kinds. It potentiated the hypnotherapy process, increasing the speed and depth of induction, and often permitted hypnosis resistant patients to be hypnotized. Similarly, it was found that it potentiated the effects of psychoactive medications and also general anesthetics in surgery patients by approximately 37%, allowing the patient to remain anesthetized with less anesthesia as the surgery progressed, waking sooner following surgery, and experiencing less pain during recovery.

Post-traumatic Amnesia
Childs reported on the effectiveness of CES in two cases of post-traumatic amnesia. The first was a 21-year-old male who sustained a TBI following a motorcycle accident but recovered much of his tested memory recall functions following a series of CES treatments administered three and one-half years after the accident.

“Childs reported on the effectiveness of CES in two cases of post-traumatic amnesia. The first was a 21-year-old male who sustained a TBI following a motorcycle accident but recovered much of his tested memory recall functions following a series of CES treatments administered three and one-half years after the accident.”

somnia and compared them with four normal staff controls. Following one CES treatment a day for five days, the patients showed increased quality and quantity of alpha with increased amplitude in the occipital-parietal leads. Magora studied 20 hospitalized patients suffering from long-lasting insomnia with anxiety, obsessive and compulsive reactions, morphine and barbiturate addiction, and involutional depression. They were give two to four CES treatments weekly for two to three hours a day for a total ranging from 10 to 20 treatments. A majority (75%) of the patients were labeled as responders to the treatment with a return to a normal sleep pattern as measured by their EEG. Parallel with the return to a normal sleep pattern, all the other psychiatric signs (e.g., anxiety, depression, agitation, delusions, abstinence syndrome) improved significantly so that all were able to be discharged from the hospital. There was no relapse on an 8 to 12 month follow up in any of these patients.

There were other EEG studies, but as can be seen, the findings in the above studies varied depending on the subject population, EEG testing parameters and so on, yet in every case there was a robust normalizing trend found in the EEG following CES treatment, whether the subject population were addicts, patients undergoing treatment in a psychiatric hospital, patients in a sleep laboratory, or simply students in a graduate school experimental EEG laboratory. There was no instance in which an EEG indicated adverse effects from CES treatment.

After several years of using CES alone, it was discovered that it potentiated biofeedback, including the speed of learning, length of retention, and ongoing patient improvement if given just before or along with biofeedback of various kinds. It potentiated the hypnotherapy process, increasing the speed and depth of induction, and often permitted hypnosis resistant patients to be hypnotized. Similarly, it was found that it potentiated the effects of psychoactive medications and also general anesthetics in surgery patients by approximately 37%, allowing the patient to remain anesthetized with less anesthesia as the surgery progressed, waking sooner following surgery, and experiencing less pain during recovery.

Post-traumatic Amnesia
Childs reported on the effectiveness of CES in two cases of post-traumatic amnesia. The first was a 21-year-old male who sustained a TBI following a motorcycle accident but recovered much of his tested memory recall functions following a series of CES treatments administered three and one-half years after the accident. Post-accident, computerized tomography (CT) revealed a right lateral basal ganglia hemorrhage and hemorrhages into both ventricles. He was totally unresponsive for ten days, semi-comatose for ten days, and in a state of coma vigil for 20 days. Three months after the accident he showed a dense left hemiparesis, and a Wechsler Memory Scale (WMS) score of 61, Full Scale IQ of 71. Six months after the accident his IQ improved to 80, but the WMS score was essentially unchanged at 63. He showed marked deficits in short-term retention of visual and verbal information. By eight months after the accident his WMS was 83, but acquisition of new learning was significantly impaired. This patient was treated with CES 40 minutes daily for three weeks. He averaged 29 correct responses immediately after CES, and 30 correct responses (delayed recall) 30 minutes later. At the end of three weeks of CES, immediate recall was in the 36-37 point range, while delayed recall increased to 40 (33% improvement). Ten days following discontinuation of treatment, he averaged 45 in immediate recall (55% improvement), and delayed recall improved to an average of 47 (56% increase over baseline).

The other report was of a 58-year-old orthopedic surgeon who sustained a closed head injury in a motor vehicle accident in 1984, with extensive lacerations and a broken leg. Initial CT scan revealed intraventricular hemorrhages within the occipital horns of both ventricles. An area that appeared consistent with an infarct of the left anterior thalamus was also noted. An EEG three weeks later showed slowing consistent with diffuse encephalopathic process. CT scans one month later showed clearing of the hemorrhages and progressive dilation of the ventricles. From the day of injury, the patient was extremely confused, disoriented, and demonstrated severe memory deficits. Twelve weeks after the injury he was transferred to a rehabilitation hospital where he exhibited disorientation, memory disturbance, and delusions of being dead. He had difficulty distinguishing between fantasy and reality, and experienced overwhelming anxiety during periods of disorientation. His problems were with new memory, exemplified by his successful completion of a state medical board exam after which he was unable to find his way out of the building. He could not drive because he could not remember where he was going. He was diagnosed with diencephalic amnesia secondary to trauma. Baseline scores averaged 29 for immediate recall, and 23 for delayed recall.
one week of CES, immediate recall averaged 35 and delayed recall averaged 25. After three weeks of CES, immediate recall averaged 35 and delayed recall averaged 31. During three weeks following discontinuation of treatment, he was tested three times and averaged 37 on immediate recall (28% improvement), and 32 on delayed recall (39% improvement).

Subjective observations by staff indicated visible improvements in mood, spontaneity, and initiative in both patients, but deteriorated rapidly after the treatment was stopped. Nevertheless, the authors stated that the clinical improvement in these two patients cannot be ignored.51

Combined TBI and Global RSD

Another case was reported of a 60-year-old male treated with CES for an intracranial TBI coupled with full body reflex sympathetic dystrophy (global RSD). In spite of severe disabilities of his brain and body, WHH continued to serve his country in his position on the Executive Staff of the President’s Committee on Employment of People with Disabilities. Daily 20 minute treatments of CES provided satisfactory pain relief for WHH to complete his tasks and enjoy a relatively higher quality of life than he was able to have with drugs alone.

Prior to CES, WHH had been prescribed numerous medications including Prozac 20mg q.i.d., Catapres Tab 20mg q.d., Effexor 100mg in AM and 50mg at bedtime, Levo-Dromoran 1mg b.i.d., Balofen 10mg split AM and PM, Risperdal 7.5mg at bedtime, Kolopin 0.5mg 1 tab t.i.d. to q.i.d. per day as needed, C-Dextromethorphan 60mg t.i.d. and Fentanyl patches for four years. This regime did little to reduce his whole body chronic intense critical pain and burning. Nor did it relieve his difficulty sleeping. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation did not help. WHH claims these treatments made him worse and expressed concerns about the short and long term side effects the drugs had on his ability to function.

WHH was provided CES at George Washington University Medical Center prior to oral surgery. He exhibited marked relaxation from CES, with a reduced anxiety level and a significantly enhanced pain threshold. Based on these positive results he was prescribed 20 minute CES treatments daily via ear clip electrodes. WHH credits the CES treatment for allowing him to return to work, and for improving his family and social life. Prior to CES he claimed that “life was not worth living to the degree that suicide was an attractive option.” He found this treatment provided him a moderate improvement of 50-74% relief from his pain, anxiety, depression, headaches, and muscle tension, and a marked improvement of 75-99% in his insomnia.

A single CES treatment lasted 6 to 8 hours, allowing him to get through the day, then the pain gradually returned. In his own words, “The Alpha-Stim 100 [the CES unit used] has given me short term relief from my pain levels that medications have not been able to accomplish. While the relief periods may only be for 8 hours or so, these near pain-free hours allow my body to recycle itself, granting me an improved quality of life. Without this therapy, the constant ‘level 10’ debilitating pain levels leave me with no physical or emotional reserves to carry on daily life. The CES therapy has no side effects, whereas my medicines have profound, crippling and lasting side effects that have impaired my bowel and colon. These impairments cannot be reversed.” On a zero (no pain) to 10 (maximum pain) scale, He says CES reduces his pain level from a 10 to a 3 which he describes as “the difference between standing on a busy street in New York at 5 PM and fly fishing on a tranquil creek.” He added “CES provides me with a measure of pain relief that brings me back from the depth of despair and gives me a wedge of hope.”

CES reduced his pain level to a point where he was able to perform his daily exercise routine. He was also able to rest better at night, which he credited as creating a “positive emotional and physical self-environment.” He felt more rested in the morning. He was able to work 30 to 40 hours per week, up from a maximum of 15 hours prior to CES.

Following CES, his medication had been reduced to Prozac 10mg q.d., Catapres Tab 0.1mg b.i.d., Effexor 50mg AM and 25mg PM, Levo-Dromoran 1mg b.i.d., Restoril 7.5mg at bedtime, Kolopin p.r.n., and Neurontin 400mg p.r.n. 52

Conclusion

Some researchers have said that the current mass pandemic of fibromyalgia patients may be due to brain dysfunction following whiplash injury or similar traumas to the brain.53 That concept is still under discussion, but meanwhile two independent double blind studies have shown CES to be a very effective treatment for pain and mood disorders in fibromyalgia patients.54,55 CES has also shown to be effective in two double blind studies of spinal cord injuries, an anatomically-related pathology.56,57

This preliminary evidence supports the hypothesized ability of CES to functionally stabilize the traumatized brain and return it toward a condition of pre-injury homeostatic functioning. Additional research will likely confirm these findings and definitively prove CES to be an effective treatment for patients with traumatic brain injury or, at the very least, a significantly beneficial adjunct to other forms of physical, and psychological therapies for this heavily-medicated population.
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